## Integral method for determination of the optical depth due to light scattering from data on the sky brightness

T.B. Zhuravleva,<sup>1</sup> A.S. Shestukhin,<sup>2</sup> V.E. Pavlov,<sup>2</sup> and V.V. Pashnev<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Institute of Atmospheric Optics, Siberian Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Tomsk <sup>2</sup> Institute for Water and Ecological Problems, Siberian Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Barnaul <sup>3</sup> Altai State University

Received February 20, 2003

Based on analysis of data obtained from solution of the radiative transfer equation through the atmosphere by the Monte Carlo method, we have developed an integral method for determination of the atmospheric optical depth due to aerosol scattering from data on sky brightness along the solar almucantar. The approximation formulas obtained apply to two visible wavelengths that are being used in the NASA sun photometers. The utility of the method and its accuracy are addressed.

One of the important problems in atmospheric physics nowadays is a wide-scale investigation of aerosol absorptivity. Determination of the vertical optical depth  $\tau$  of the cloudless atmosphere is usually based on the well-known law of light extinction (Bouguer law):

$$F = F_0 = \exp(-\tau m),\tag{1}$$

where  $F_0$  and F are the spectral fluxes of direct solar radiation at the atmospheric top and at the surface level; m is the atmospheric mass along the direction toward the sun. The optical depth  $\tau$  includes the components due to scattering  $\tau_s$  and absorption  $\tau_{abs}$ , which, in their turn, can be divided into the molecular and aerosol components:

$$\tau = \tau_{\rm s} + \tau_{\rm abs} = \tau_{\rm ms} + \tau_{\rm as} + \tau_{\rm m,abs} + \tau_{\rm a,abs}.$$
 (2)

Here  $\tau_{ms}$  and  $\tau_{as}$  are the optical depths due to molecular and aerosol scattering;  $\tau_{m,abs}$  and  $\tau_{a,abs}$  are respectively the molecular and aerosol absorption depths.

The molecular component  $\tau_{ms}$  can be calculated in a standard way. The  $\tau_{m,abs}$  component can be separated based on the approach proposed in Refs. 1 to 3. In the wavelength region  $400 \le \lambda \le 700$  nm that is considered here, the main absorbing gas is atmospheric ozone having a wide continuous Chappuis absorption band.

To determine the aerosol optical depth (AOD) due to absorption, we have to know the AOD due to scattering. It can be found by various methods from observations of angular and spectral characteristics of the radiation field from the earth's surface. Most of the current techniques for reconstructing the aerosol scattering depth assume measurements of brightness of the clear cloudless atmosphere along the solar almucantar. (Note that for the first time the idea of determination of the scattering depth  $\tau_s = \tau_{ms} + \tau_{as}$ 

$$\tau_{\rm s} = 2\pi \int_{0}^{\pi} [f_{\rm as}(\varphi) + f_{\rm ms}(\varphi)] \sin \varphi \, \mathrm{d}\varphi,$$

0235-6880/03/05-06 417-07 \$02.00

from measurements of brightness along the solar almucantar was put forward by E.V. Pyaskovskaya-Fesenkova<sup>4</sup>; a brief bibliography of current approaches to solution of this problem can be found in Ref. 5.)

Introduce designations of the basic scattering characteristics (see, e.g., Ref. 6), which will be used throughout this paper. The absolute radiance indicatrix at solar almucantar  $f(\varphi)$  ( $\varphi$  is the scattering angle) is related to the sky brightness  $B(\varphi)$ by the following equation:

$$B(\varphi) = E_0 \exp(-\tau m) m f(\varphi),$$

 $E_0$  is the extraterrestrial solar constant. The absolute radiance indicatrix  $f(\varphi)$  includes the following components:

$$f(\varphi) = f_{\rm as}(\varphi) + f_{\rm ms}(\varphi) + f_2(\varphi) + f_{\rm sur}(\varphi), \quad (3)$$

where  $f_{as}(\varphi)$  and  $f_{ms}(\varphi)$  are the coefficients of directional aerosol and molecular single scattering;  $f_2(\varphi)$  and  $f_{sur}(\varphi)$  are the terms describing the multiple scattering effects and the influence of the surface.

Observations of the radiance indicatrix are used to determine the function

$$\tau_{\rm obs} = 2\pi \int_{0}^{\pi} f(\varphi) \sin \varphi d\varphi, \qquad (4)$$

which is called the brightness optical depth of the atmosphere. Based on Eq. (3),  $\tau_{obs}$  is traditionally represented as

$$\tau_{\rm obs} = \tau_{\rm s} + \tau_2 + \tau_{\rm sur},\tag{5}$$

$$\tau_{\rm s} = 2\pi \int [f_{\rm as}(\phi) + f_{\rm s}(\phi)]$$

2003 © Institute of Atmospheric Optics

$$\tau_2 = 2\pi \int_0^{\pi} f_2(\phi) \sin \phi \, \mathrm{d}\phi \,, \ \tau_{\mathrm{sur}} = 2\pi \int_0^{\pi} f_{\mathrm{sur}}(\phi) \sin \phi \, \mathrm{d}\phi \,.$$

One of the simple techniques of  $\tau_{as}$  reconstruction from measurements at solar almucantar was suggested in Ref. 5. This difference technique was based on the idea of the possibility of determining  $\tau_{as}$  through  $\tau^*$ , which is determined by the equation

$$\tau^* = 2\pi \int_0^{\pi/2} f(\varphi) \sin \varphi d\varphi - 2\pi \int_{\pi/2}^{\pi} f(\varphi) \sin \varphi d\varphi .$$
 (6)

The symmetry of the function  $f_{ms}(\varphi)$  about the point

$$\varphi = \pi/2$$
 and the assumption that  $\int_{0}^{\pi/2} f_{sur}(\varphi) \, d\varphi \approx$ 

 $\approx \int_{\pi/2} f_{sur}(\phi) d\phi$  mean that  $\tau^*$  is determined by the

aerosol component of the atmosphere and the multiple scattering effects and is insensitive to the influence of the surface. These circumstances allowed the relation between the difference  $\tau^*$  and the optical depth due to aerosol scattering to be approximated by simple equations.

In this paper, to determine the scattering optical depth  $\tau_s = \tau_{ms} + \tau_{as}$ , it is proposed to replace the difference of the forth and back integrals [Eq. (6)] by their sum, which is nothing else than the brightness optical depth of the atmosphere  $\tau_{obs}$  [Eq. (4)]. According to Eq. (5), the value of  $\tau_{obs}$  depends on scattering optical depth  $\tau_s$  and the value of  $\tau_{2,sur} = \tau_2 + \tau_{sur}$  caused by multiple scattering and reflection of light from the surface with the albedo  $A_s$ . By analogy with the difference method, we can assume that there is some functional relation between  $\tau_s$  and  $\tau_{obs}$ . The aim of this paper is to derive simple approximation formulas for determination of scattering optical depth  $\tau_s$  (and, consequently,  $\tau_{as}$ ) from experimentally measurable  $\tau_{obs}$ .

The possibility of deriving such formulas is apparently connected with the accuracy of  $\tau_{2,sur}$ estimation. Various approaches that were used earlier for this purpose showed<sup>6</sup> that  $\tau_{2,sur}$  could only be considered accurately if based on the data of solution of the radiative transfer equation for the atmosphere. Such numerical data may be obtained, for example, using Monte Carlo algorithms.<sup>7,8</sup> The accuracy in calculating  $f(\varphi)$  using modern computer technologies is rather high: relative errors  $\delta f(\varphi)$  for all reasonable aerosol scattering phase functions, scattering optical depth  $\tau_s \land 1$ , and secant of the solar zenith angle sec $Z \bullet \leq 5$  do not exceed a few tenths of percent.

The absolute radiance indicatrix  $f(\varphi)$  was calculated, as in Ref. 5, by the method of conjugate wanderings (with the allowance made for the axial symmetry of the Earth-atmosphere-sun system)

within the framework of the plane-parallel vertically homogeneous molecular-aerosol atmosphere. The aerosol model of the atmosphere included three groups of particles with the lognormal size distributions: Aitken nuclei, submicron and coarse fractions.<sup>9</sup> The forward peak of the aerosol scattering phase function

$$\Gamma_{\rm a} = \int_{0}^{\pi/2} f_{\rm as}(\varphi) \,\mathrm{d}\varphi / \int_{\pi/2}^{\pi} f_{\rm as}(\varphi) \,\mathrm{d}\varphi$$

was specified by variations of the number of particles in the modes. Finally, we have selected the aerosol scattering phase functions with the following values of  $\Gamma_a$ :  $\lambda = 439 \text{ nm} - \Gamma_a = 7.03$ , 8.6, and 10.2;  $\lambda=675$  nm -  $\Gamma_a=7.03,$  9.7, and 11.6. (Recall that the wavelengths correspond to the spectral ranges of the NASA sun photometers in the visible spectral region.<sup>10</sup>) The molecular scattering optical depths  $\tau_{ms}$ were taken equal to 0.2379 for  $\lambda = 439$  nm and 0.0427 for  $\lambda = 675$  nm; the aerosol extinction optical depths  $\tau_a$  varied in the range  $0.1 \leq \tau_a \leq 0.9$  with the step of 0.2 (these boundaries encompass most of the experimental AOD values measured in an industrial city<sup>11</sup>). The single scattering albedo  $\omega_a$  was taken equal to  $\omega_a \in \{0.7, \ 0.8, \ 0.9, \ 1.0\}$  and covered the majority of cases of the presence of both natural and urban aerosol particles in the atmosphere. The solar angle varied within  $60 \le Z$   $\le 80^{\circ}$ zenith  $(2 \le \sec Z \mathbf{0} \le 5)$ ; the equality  $\sec Z \mathbf{0} = m$  was assumed to be valid in this range of the  $Z_0$  angle. The spectral albedo of the surface  $A_s$  was taken equal to, respectively, 0.06 ( $\lambda = 439$  nm) and 0.15  $(\lambda = 675 \text{ nm})$ , which is equivalent to summer conditions for most types of the surface cover. Light absorption by air molecules in the spectral range under consideration was assumed negligibly small.

The sky radiance indicatrix  $f(\varphi)$  was calculated for the angles  $[0, \varphi_{max}]$ , where  $\varphi_{max} = 2Z \mathbf{0}$ . Interpolation of  $f(\varphi) \sin\varphi$  in the range  $[\varphi_{max}, 180^\circ]$ that was needed for the following calculation of  $\tau_{obs}$ was performed with the use of third-power polynomials. Because the function to be interpolated is smooth, the extra error introduced by the interpolation did not exceed 2–3% (Ref. 12).

Before passing on to determining the functional dependence between  $\tau_{obs}$  and  $\tau_s$ , let us estimate the contribution of  $\tau_{2,sur} = \tau_2 + \tau_{sur}$  to  $\tau_{obs}$ , where the term  $\tau_2 = \tau_{2,sur}$  ( $A_s = 0$ ) is referred to as the optical depth due to multiple scattering. As AOD increases, the fraction of multiply scattered radiation to brightness  $B(\varphi)$  increases, and the relative contribution of the component  $\tau_2$  to  $\tau_{obs}$  increases too. As follows from the calculated results shown in Fig. 1, the fraction of  $\tau_2$  varies from  $\approx 45\%$  at  $\tau_a = 0.1$  to  $\approx 85\%$  at  $\tau_a = 0.7$ . At the same time, the relative contribution of  $\tau_{sur}$  to  $\tau_{obs}$  decreases: if at  $\tau_a = 0.1$  and  $A_s$  varying within  $0 \le A_s \le 0.8$  the increment of  $\tau_{sur}$  was  $\approx 12\%$ , then at  $\tau_a = 0.7$  the relative fraction of  $\tau_{sur}$  did not exceed

 $\approx 5\%$ . In this connection, we can expect that the procedure of  $\tau_s$  restoration from the data on  $\tau_{obs}$  will be most efficient at relatively small values of the albedo  $A_s$ . Therefore, the array of observations, which can be analyzed by the "integral" technique, should correspond to the summer conditions.



Fig. 1. The effect of surface albedo  $A_{\rm s}$  on the brightness atmospheric depth  $\tau_{\rm obs}$  (*a*) and the relative contribution of  $\tau_{2,\rm sur}/\tau_{\rm obs}$ , % (*b*). Wavelength  $\lambda = 439$  nm,  $\Gamma_{\rm a} = 8.77$ , m = 5.

Already the first careful calculations of  $\tau_{obs}$  have shown<sup>13</sup> that it is generally a function of the scattering optical depth  $\tau_s$  and weakly depends on the light absorption by aerosol under condition that  $0.7 \le \omega_a \le 1$  and the scattering depth  $\tau_s \land 0.95$  for  $\lambda = 439$  nm and  $\tau_s \land 0.65$  for  $\lambda = 675$  nm. More detailed idea of this can be obtained from the results depicted in Fig. 2: when drawing each of the curves of  $\tau_{obs}$  as a function of  $\tau_s$ , we used calculated  $f(\phi)$  for all the four values  $\omega_a \in \{0.7; 0.8; 0.9; 1.0\}$ . It is worthy to note that the curves corresponding to different wavelengths  $\lambda = 439$  and 675 nm are close at a relatively high sun elevation above the horizon:  $m \leq 3$ . Since the forward peak of the scattering phase function in the molecular-aerosol atmosphere

$$\Gamma_{1} = \frac{\int_{0}^{\pi/2} [f_{as}(\varphi) + f_{ms}(\varphi)] \sin \varphi \, d\varphi}{\int_{\pi/2}^{\pi} [f_{as}(\varphi) + f_{ms}(\varphi)] \sin \varphi \, d\varphi}$$

is significantly different because of the contribution of molecular scattering in different spectral ranges, it can be concluded that at  $m \leq 3$  it only slightly influences the value of  $\tau_{obs}$ . It should be noted that the influence of the shape of the scattering phase function on  $\tau_{obs}$  can manifest itself only through the multiply scattered radiation: it becomes more marked as *m* increases.



**Fig. 2.** Dependence of  $\tau_{obs}$  on  $\tau_s$  at different values of *m* and wavelength:  $\lambda = 439$  nm (1, 3, 5, 7) and 675 nm (2, 4, 6, 8) at  $0.3 \le \tau_s \le 0.55$ .

Figure 3 depicts calculated  $\tau_{obs}$  as a function of  $\tau_s$  at four values of *m* nearby  $\lambda = 675$  nm. At low atmospheric turbidity ( $\tau_s \leq 0.15$ ) the discrepancy between the curves does not exceed 10%, as was mentioned earlier by E.V. Pyaskovskaya-Fesenkova based on the data of observations.<sup>4</sup> With the following increase of  $\tau_s$ , differentiation of the curves with respect to *m* becomes clearer due to the increasing contribution of multiple scattering to the sky brightness.<sup>9</sup>



Fig. 3. Dependence of  $\tau_{obs}$  on  $\tau_s$  at  $0.1 \le \tau_s \le 0.35$  for  $\lambda = 675$  nm ( $\Gamma_a = 7.03$ ).

By analogy with the difference technique, from the solution of the radiative transfer equation for the aerosol models of the atmosphere mentioned above we derived the approximation formulas relating  $\tau_{obs}$ and  $\tau_s$ , in which  $\tau_{obs}$  is considered as the initial one, since it just serves the basis for determination of the scattering optical depth. For every spectral region, the values of  $\tau_s$  are represented as

$$\tau_{\rm s} = K_2 \tau_{\rm obs}^2 + K_1 \tau_{\rm obs} + K_0. \tag{7}$$

The following analysis has shown that to avoid the loss of accuracy in determination of  $\tau_s$ , the coefficients  $K_i$ , i=0,1,2, should be calculated for two ranges of  $\tau_s$ :  $0.31 \le \tau_s \le 0.54-0.59$  and  $0.54 \le \tau_s \le 0.92-0.94$  for  $\lambda = 439$  nm and  $0.11 \le \tau_s \le 0.36-0.39$  and  $0.34 \le \tau_s \le 0.64-0.67$  for  $\lambda = 675$  nm. These changes of  $\tau_s$ , in fact, cover the absolute majority of variations of the scattering optical depth of both natural and anthropogenic aerosol.

The coefficients in Eq. (7) depend on the atmospheric mass m (Fig. 3), and they can be approximated by the second-power polynomials with the acceptable accuracy:

$$K_i = P_{i,2}m^2 + P_{i,1}m + P_{i,0}, i = 0, 1, 2.$$
 (8)

The values of the coefficients  $K_i$ , i = 0, 1, 2, at different  $\tau_s$  and the above values of  $\Gamma_a$  are given in Tables 1 and 2.

Using one of the aerosol models of the atmosphere ( $\Gamma_a = 7.03$ ) as an example, Fig. 4 depicts the variability ranges of the brightness atmospheric optical depth  $\tau_{obs}$  corresponding to the ranges of  $\tau_s$  variations. If the values of  $\tau_{obs}$  fall in the region of overlap of the ranges  $\tau_{obs}^{(1)} \leq \tau_{obs} \leq \tau_{obs}^{(2)}$ , then both sets of the coefficients  $K_i$ , i = 0, 1, 2, can be used in calculation of the scattering optical depth. Note that the region of overlap ( $\tau_{obs}^{(1)}, \tau_{obs}^{(2)}$ ) in every spectral range depends on the value of the forward peak of the aerosol scattering phase function considered.

Ranges of $\tau_s$	$\Gamma_{\rm a} = 7.03$	$\Gamma_{\rm a} = 8.6$	$\Gamma_{\rm a} = 10.2$
	$K_2 = 0.0082m^2 - 0.023m - 0.196$	$K_2 = -0.0096m^2 + 0.123m - 0.476$	$K_2 = -0.0004m^2 + 0.055m - 0.358$
$0.31 < \tau_s < 0.59$	$K_1 = -0.019m^2 + 0.05m + 0.667$	$K_1 = 0.015m^2 - 0.23m + 1.194$	$K_1 = -0.0041m^2 - 0.09m + 0.954$
	$K_0 = 0.012m^2 - 0.062m + 0.104$	$K_0 = -0.00195m^2 + 0.051m - 0.099$	$K_0 = 0.0082m^2 - 0.021m + 0.014$
	$K_2 = -0.00163m^2 + 0.027m - 0.101$	$K_2 = -0.0078m^2 + 0.074m - 0.181$	$K_2 = -0.0046m^2 + 0.0485m - 0.133$
$0.54 < \tau_s < 0.94$	$K_1 = 0.0025m^2 - 0.112m + 0.635$	$K_1 = 0.027m^2 - 0.3m + 0.95$	$K_1 = 0.015m^2 - 0.202m + 0.765$
	$K_0 = 0.0057m^2 + 0.028m + 0.07$	$K_0 = -0.0083m^2 + 0.131m - 0.091$	$K_0 = -0.00038m^2 + 0.071m + 0.014$

Table 1. Coefficients  $K_i$ , i = 0, 1, 2, for calculation of  $\tau_s$  nearby  $\lambda = 439$  nm

Table 2. Coefficients  $K_i$ , i = 0, 1, 2, for calculation of  $\tau_s$  nearby  $\lambda = 675$  nm

Ranges of $\tau_{s}$	$\Gamma_{\rm a} = 7.03$	$\Gamma_{\rm a} = 9.7$	$\Gamma_{\rm a} = 11.55$
$0.11 < \tau_s < 0.39$	$K_2 = 0.018m^2 - 0.09m - 0.332$	$K_2 = -0.0087m^2 + 0.122m - 0.73$	$K_2 = 0.0011m^2 + 0.053m - 0.593$
	$K_1 = -0.011m^2 + 0.018m + 0.859$	$K_1 = 0.014m^2 - 0.18m + 1.229$	$K_1 = 0.0045m^2 - 0.115m + 1.102$
	$K_0 = 0.0028m^2 - 0.015m + 0.024$	$K_0 = -0.00127m^2 + 0.017m - 0.032$	$K_0 = 0.0022m^2 - 0.0081m + 0.012$
$0.34 < \tau_s < 0.67$	$K_2 = -0.00119m^2 + 0.035m - 0.182$	$K_2 = -0.0069m^2 + 0.078m - 0.262$	$K_2 = -0.0058m^2 + 0.07m - 0.239$
	$K_1 = 0.0051m^2 - 0.13m + 0.815$	$K_1 = 0.016m^2 - 0.218m + 0.974$	$K_1 = 0.014m^2 - 0.206m + 0.927$
	$K_0 = 0.001m^2 + 0.023m + 0.016$	$K_0 = -0.001m^2 + 0.036m - 0.0052$	$K_0 = 0.001m^2 + 0.025m + 0.021$



Fig. 4. Regions of variation of  $\tau_{obs}$  that determine regions of variation of  $\tau_s$  in calculations by Eq. (7):  $0.31 \le \tau_s \le 0.54 - 0.59$  ( $\lambda = 439$  nm) and  $0.11 \le \tau_s \le 0.36 - 0.39$  ( $\lambda = 675$  nm) (circles);  $0.54 \le \tau_s \le 0.92 - 0.94$  ( $\lambda = 439$  nm) and  $0.34 \le \tau_s \le 0.64 - 0.67$  ( $\lambda = 675$  nm) (triangles);  $\Gamma_a = 7.03$ .

Thus, we have obtained the approximation formulas based on the theory of radiative transfer and allowing reconstruction of the scattering optical depth  $\tau_s$  from observations  $\tau_{obs}$  with the atmospheric mass varying within  $2 \le m \le 5$ . The accuracy of these formulas can be judged from the data of Table 3, which presents the relative deviations of calculated  $\tau_s$ from the values initially used in the calculations. It can be seen that the maximum deviations are observed at large values of the optical depth and atmospheric mass m = 4-5. The systematic deviations characteristic of some rows (e.g., for  $\lambda = 439$  nm,  $\tau_s \ge 0.5079$ ,  $\Gamma_a = 10.2$ , and m = 5) is the consequence of twice representation of  $\tau_s$  by polynomials. In the overlapping regions  $0.54 \le \tau_s \le 0.59$  for  $\lambda = 439$  nm and  $0.34 \le \tau_s \le 0.39$  for  $\lambda = 675$  nm, as was mentioned above, the formulas for any of the two regions can be used equivalently. The value of scattering AOD  $\tau_{as}$  can be found by subtracting the molecular component  $\tau_{ms}$  from the determined value of  $\tau_s$ .

Let us now solve the problem of selection of  $\Gamma_a$ at the practical use of the method proposed for determination of  $\tau_{as}$ . In spite of significant differences in the coefficients  $P_{i,j}$ , i, j = 0, 1, 2, depending on  $\Gamma_a$ (see Tables 1 and 2), the equations presented for every spectral range give close results on  $\tau_{as}$ independent of the aerosol model. This can be illustrated as follows. Let us select a wavelength, for example,  $\lambda = 439$  nm. The approximation formulas derived for the first ( $\Gamma_a = 7.03$ ) and third ( $\Gamma_a = 10.2$ ) aerosol models are used, in turn, for calculation of  $\tau_s$ all over the array of calculated  $\tau_{obs}$  ( $\Gamma_a = 7.03$ , 8.6, and 10.2). Then find  $\tau_{as}=\tau_s-\tau_{ms}$  and compare with the scattering aerosol depths initially used in the calculations. Then repeat this procedure for the red spectral region, using the corresponding parameters. The histograms of deviations (in %) for both spectral regions are compared in Fig. 5. It is clearly seen that they are a little bit shifted with respect to each other. The mean deviation with allowance for the sign is  $\approx -0.5\%$  for the first histogram (formulas for  $\Gamma_a = 7.03$ ) and  $\approx 1.6\%$  for the second one (formulas for  $\Gamma_a = 10.2$  and 11.55 for  $\lambda = 439$  nm and  $\lambda = 675$  nm, respectively), which falls within the usual measurement error corridor of  $\tau$ . The maximum discrepancies achieving 15-18% arise at small  $(\tau_a < 0.1)$  and large  $(\tau_a > 0.4-0.5)$  aerosol optical depths. When using the second model for analysis of the whole array of data, the root-mean-square deviation is 4%. It should be noted here that the absolute error  $\Delta \tau_a$  in determination of  $\tau_a$  by the Bouguer method is usually 0.01–0.02. At  $\tau_a = 0.1$ this is equivalent to the relative error of 10-20%, and  $\tau_a > 0.4{-}0.5$  are rather rare under real conditions.

Thus, based on solution of the radiative transfer equation, we have derived simple approximation formulas that allow the scattering optical depth to be reconstructed from the data on  $\tau_{obs}.$  The integral technique of  $\tau_{as}$  determination should be used in the summer period, when the surface albedo is low and its variations only weakly influence  $\tau_{obs}.$  Otherwise, when  $A_s > 0.2$ , the albedo should be measured and the corresponding corrections should be introduced to the formulas proposed for determination of  $\tau_{as}$ . An obvious advantage of the approach proposed is low sensitivity of  $\tau_{as}$  to the asymmetry factor of the scattering phase function. In the further studies, we plan to compare the scattering AOD reconstructed using the difference and integral techniques both in the computer experiment and based on field measurements.

τ	s	0.3079	0.3179	0.3279	0.3379	0.4479	0.4779	0.5079	0.5379	0.5079	6100.0	0.5879	0.6879	0.7379	0.7279	0.7979	0.8679	0.9379
$\Gamma_{\rm a}$	т	$\delta \tau_{\rm s} \ (\lambda = 439 \ {\rm nm}), \ \%$																
7	2	-0.8										3.5 1.		5 -2.5		2	0.5	0.5
8.6 10.2	2 2	1.6 - 1.4		-0.6 -2.6	-0.5 -3.5			-0.1 -0.3 (		1.7 —3 1.7 —3		2.2 0. 2.3 0.		$6 -5.3 \\ 2 -3.3$		$0.5 \\ 1.2$	$-0.6 \\ -0.1$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.4 \\ 0.2 \end{array}$
7	3	2.9	2	0.9	0.1					2.1 - 2		2.1 0.		5 -3.4		0.1	-1.2	0.2
8.6	3	1		-0.4	-1	1.3	0.6			1.5 -1	L <b>.9</b> 2	2.8 1.		3 -2.5		0.8	-0.7	-0.1
10.2	3	1.9	1.1		-0.6							.5 0					-2.3	
7	4	3.9	3.2	2.5	1.7	2.9				2.3 - 2		.4 0.					-2.3	
8.6 10.2	4 4	1.9 3	$1.4 \\ 2.4$	1 1.7	0.4 1			1.6 2 1.1		0.6 2.9 —		3.7 3. 1 0				$-0.8 \\ -3.8$		
7	5	3.2	3	2.7	2.4							).8 1.					-6.7	
8.6	5	1.8	1.7	1.5	1.3					2.1 -(		2.5 3.				-0.3	-1.4	0.4
10.2	5	0.8	0.7	0.5	0.3	1.8	1.1	<b>0.9</b> 1	1.6 -	<b>5.3</b> –4	i.1 —	1.2 -1	.2 -2.	4 -4.6	5 -3.5	-6.1	-8.4	-7.9
Root-mean-square deviation																		
		1.6	1.7	1.7	1.7	1	1	1	1.1 1	.2 1	.1 1	.3 1.	2 1.5	1.9	2.3	2.4	3	3.8
τ	s	0.1127	0.1227	0.1327	0.1427	0.2527	0.2827	0.3127	0.3427	0.3127	0.3427	0.3927	0.4427	0.4927	0.5427	0.5327	0.6027	0.6727
$\Gamma_{\rm a}$	m		•						δτ <sub>s</sub> (λ =	= 675 1	m), %	0						
7	2	-1.1	-1.3	-1.8	-2.3	1	0.4	0.2	0.3	-0.7	-1.8	<b>3</b> 3.1	1.3	-1	-3.3	3.1	1.1	-0.2
9.7	2	1.8	-2.3				-0.7	-	-0.7	-1	-2.2		0.8	-1.4		2.5	0.9	0.4
11.6 7	2 3	-3.9 1.9	-4.2 1.1	-4.7						-2.3	-3		0.5	-1.3	-3.3	2.2	0.8	0.4
9.7	3	1.9	0.1	$0.2 \\ -0.5$	-0.8 -1.7	1 0.7	0.2 -0.1	0 -0.2	0.6 0.4	-1.9 -0.3	-2.3 -1	7 2.3 4	$0.5 \\ 2.5$	$-1.9 \\ 0.4$	-4.4 -1.8	1.6 3.8	-0.4 2.2	-0.8 2.4
11.6	3	1.2	0.7	-0.1	-0.9	0.8	-0.2			-1	-1.0		1.8	-0.3	-2.6	3.4	1.8	2.4
7	4	2.7	2	1.4	0.5	1.7	0.9	0.9	2	-2.1	-2.3		1	-1.2	-3.6	1.3	-0.6	-0.4
9.7	4	0.6	0.2	-0.1	-0.6	1.8	1.1	1	1.8	1.1	1.1		4.9	3	1.1	5.6	4.2	5
	-								~ ~	-0.4			2.0	1.9	0.2		2.2	
11.6	4	2.3	2	1.4	0.6	1.6	0.5	0.1	0.9				3.9		-0.3	4.7	3.2	4.4
7	4 5	2.3 0.9	2 0.9	0.6	0.1	2.1	1.2	0.9	1.8	-2.8	-2.2	2 2.4	1.3	-0.9	-3.8	-0.9	-3.7	-4.5
7 9.7	4	2.3	$2 \\ 0.9 \\ -0.2$	$0.6 \\ -0.2$	0.1 -0.5	2.1 1.6	1.2 0.7	0.9 0.5	1.8 1.6	-2.8 0.7	-2.2 1.6	<b>2</b> 2.4 6.4		$\begin{array}{c} -0.9\\ 4.9 \end{array}$				
7	4 5 5	2.3 0.9 0.2	2 0.9	$0.6 \\ -0.2$	0.1 -0.5	2.1 1.6	1.2	0.9 0.5 2 -1.7	1.8 1.6	-2.8 0.7 -1.8	-2.2 1.6 -0.8	<b>2</b> 2.4 6.4 <b>8</b> 4.7	1.3 6	-0.9	-3.8 3.6	$-0.9 \\ 5.7$	-3.7 5.5	$-4.5 \\ 8.8$

Table 3. Accuracy of reconstruction of the scattering optical depth  $\tau_s$  by Eqs. (7) and (8). The errors calculated by formulas for the overlap regions are given in separate columns



Fig. 5. Distribution of relative deviations of the reconstructed values of aerosol scattering optical depth  $\tau_{as}$  from those used in calculations.

## References

J.D. Spinhirne, A. Uchiyama, 1. M. Shiobara. and S. Asano, J. Appl. Meteorol. 35, No. 1, 36-46 (1996).

2. S.M. Sakerin and D.M. Kabanov, Atmos. Oceanic Opt. 10, No. 8, 540-545 (1997).

3. S.M. Sakerin and D.M. Kabanov, J. Atmos. Sci. 59, No. 3, Part I, 484-500 (2002).

4. E.V. Pyaskovskaya-Fesenkova, Investigation of Light Scattering in the Earth's Atmosphere (AS USSR Publishing House, Moscow, 1957), 217 pp.

5. T.B. Zhuravleva, V.E. Pavlov, and V.V. Pashnev,

Atmos. Oceanic Opt. 16, No. 4, 347–351 (2003). 6. V.A. Smerkalov, Applied Optics of the Atmosphere (Gidrometeoizdat, St. Petersburg, 1997), 334 pp.

7. G.I. Marchuk, ed., Monte Carlo Method in Atmospheric Optics (Nauka, Novosibirsk, 1976), 283 pp.

8. M.A. Nazaraliev, Statistical Simulation of Radiative Processes in the Atmosphere (Nauka, Novosibirsk, 1990), 226 pp.

9. G.V. Rozenberg, G.I. Gorchakov, Yu.S. Georgievskii, and Yu.S. Lyubovtseva, in: Atmospheric Physics and Climate Problems (Nauka, Moscow, 1980), pp. 216-257.

10. B.N. Holben, Remote Sens. Environ., No. 66, 1-16 (1998).

11. V.L. Mironov, V.E. Pavlov, and V.V. Pashnev, Atmos. Oceanic Opt. 14, Nos. 6-7, 501-503 (2001).

12. T.Z. Muldashev, V.E. Pavlov, and Ya.A. Teifel, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Fiz. Atmos. Okeana 27, No. 8, 831-841 (1991).

13. V.E. Pavlov, V.V. Pashnev, A.S. Shestukhin, and T.B. Zhuravleva, Computational Technologies, **7**, Part 4; Vestn. KazNU, No. 4 (32), 34-41 (2002).