Study of the processes in the ozonosphere using MS Excel Tables: archiving, analysis, and simulation

I.L. Galkina,¹ V.V. Zuev,² and G.M. Kruchenitskii¹

¹ Central Aerological Observatory, Dolgoprudnyi, Moscow Region ² Institute of Atmospheric Optics, Siberian Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Tomsk

Received April 4, 2003

Potentialities of the MS Excel software in archiving, analysis, and presentation of the experimental data on various ozone characteristics are discussed. It is shown that the use of MS Excel enables archiving, comparison, and visualization of the results of diverse ground-based, balloonand satellite-borne measurements, ozonometer network quality control, and solving various problems of ozone anomaly detection and diagnosing within a single approach. Some issues of the interaction with other application packages and database management tools, as well as of construction of regression models are considered.

Study of the processes in the ozonosphere, as well as atmospheric processes in general, has some peculiarities as compared with the traditional approach used in natural science when studying one or another phenomenon. This is caused, first of all, by the following specific, but traditional for geophysics, reasons:

• a large number of different processes affecting the process under study and related difficulties in identification of the occurrence;

• low (as compared with the majority of other physical fields) accuracy in measurement of parameters of the process under study;

• a large number of feedbacks relating the process under study and other processes that strongly complicates both correct determination of the list of parameters to be measured during field experiments and the causeand-effect diagnostics of the observed phenomena.

The features listed above lead to the situation that the need in various databases increases strongly, as well as the role of statistical simulation, and the bulk of experimental data needed for testing one or another regularity revealed in studying some processes in atmospheric investigations (as compared with other physical fields).

Advent and wide spread of personal computers and diverse software for them in the last decades has made the geophysical investigations much more correct. However, this potential is often used for construction of the so-called numerical models including hundreds, thousands, and even tens of thousands of poorly determined constants (chemical, optical, gas-dynamic, and others). These models do not allow qualitative analysis and are very computationally expensive. In our opinion, an alternative to this approach is the direct use of computers, that is, for solution of the following problems:

• compiling vast and convenient-in-use databases;

• enhancing the signal-to-noise ratio in series of geophysical observations;

• automation of routine computational and information retrieval operations;

• invoking voluminous (comprehensive whenever possible) measurement data to testing the analytical results or results obtained for deficient experimental data.

In this paper, we aim at demonstrating the capabilities of one of the most popular software (MS Excel Tables¹) for realization of this approach as applied to studying the ozone behavior in the atmosphere. It should be mentioned that this paper does not pretend to be a comprehensive description of the Excel capabilities as applied to the ozone problem. Such capabilities are if not infinite, then so wide that their detailed description would call for quite a big book. Our goal is only to attract attention of our colleagues to the use of powerful and efficient software in everyday practical work.

First of all, it should be noted that databases (DB) organized at the current level of requirements to convenience of the user should provide for the following possibilities:

• easy access to the available data and easy way of adding new data;

• possibility of using structured QSL queries;

 \bullet possibility of visualizing (two- and three-dimensional) the information stored in DB and/or obtained from analysis;

• possibility of applying both standard analytical tools and user's algorithms for information processing.

Generally speaking, now all these requirements are easy to meet with standard program packages and DBMS aids, such as Access, FoxPro, Mathcad, etc. However, to become familiar with this software at the level sufficient for implementation of user's algorithm, the user often has to spend inadequately long time. At the same time, fulfillment of all the above requirements forces the user to master at least one DBMS and one package of analytical programs. To minimize the efforts, the user has to exert to make all the above possibilities accessible to him/her, it seems optimal to create the intermediate information presentation level in the form of an Excel workbook. This will provide the user with the possibility of using powerful DBMS up to FoxPro inclusive that are parts of Microsoft Visual Studio and the most efficient analyzing tolls that are included in such analytical packages as Maple, Mathcad, MathLab, and others.

Such an intermediate level will lead to the following results:

• for solution of the most information retrieval research problems, the user has to master only MS Excel, which now is the most popular product for PC used in the world except only for the MS Word;

• standard query processing, data visualization, and use of the most mathematical and logical functions are performed by the standard Excel tools;

• for analysis and preparation of data, the user can use quick (in the sense of realization) capabilities of MS Excel in computational and sorting works with arrays.

For realization of original data processing algorithms and automatic formation of the flows of input information by original criteria, the user has to know only VBA (Visual Basic for Applications)² – a dialect of the simplest programming language Visual Basic, and development can be made in any of the Microsoft Visual Studio languages. Visual Basic allows simple self-training using **Tools**, **Macro**, **Record New Macro**, **Stop Recording**, and **Edit**.

Consider the Excel capabilities as applied to particular databases starting from the total ozone content (TOC) databases. As known, the TOC is the result of ground-based observations archived in two formats (new and old) that are thoroughly described in WOUDC (World Ozone and Ultraviolet Radiation Data Centre, Canada) bulletins and on the corresponding CD.³

Another source of TOC data are satellite measurements, most representative, long-term, and uniform among which are the TOMS measurements that cover the period from November 1978 till now with only short gaps. The archiving format of ground-based data is easily assimilated by Excel, but the TOMS (TOMS Grid) data format deserves more detailed consideration, since its assimilation can serve an example of Excel capabilities for archiving, conversion, analysis, and visualization of ozonometric information. The structure of the TOMS Grid text file including the information on the global TOC field at local noon is very simple. It begins with three information rows stating the measurement date and spatial resolution of the data presented (1° in latitude and 1°15′ in longitude). Then it includes 180 blocks, each describing readouts within a latitude belt 1° wide, and ends with indication of the central latitude of this belt (Fig. 1).

The Excel workbook can easily assimilate a TOMS Grid file using a one-row VBA code:

Workbooks.OpenText FileName:=path, Origin :=
xlWindows, StartRow:=4, DataType:=xlFixedWidth,
FieldInfo:=Array(Array (0, 1), Array(4, 1), Array(7, 1),
Array(10, 1), Array(13, 1), Array(16, 1), _
Array(19, 1), Array(22, 1), Array(25, 1), Array(28, 1),
Array(31, 1), Array(34, 1), Array(37, 1), Array(40, 1), _
Array(43, 1), Array(46, 1), Array(49, 1), Array(52, 1),
Array(55, 1), Array(58, 1), Array(61, 1), Array(64, 1), _
Array(67, 1), Array(70, 1), Array(73, 1), Array(76, 1))

Having copied a sheet with TOMS Grid data to the first worksheet of the Excel workbook, whose first and second worksheets are related by some code presenting data as an array (see Appendix 1), we obtain data in the format, which can be readily interpreted, visualized, and compared with data from other sources, for example, from ground-based stations. The second worksheet with latitude degrees given along columns and longitude degrees given along rows is depicted in Fig. 2.

Such a presentation (transposed with respect to the usual Mercator projection) is applied because the number of longitude elements (288) exceeds the maximum number of columns on the Excel worksheet (256). This example illustrates the efficiency of such a powerful MS Excel tool as linking between cells of different worksheets (in particular, worksheets of different workshooks) for fast browsing of the data.

The cells corresponding to ground-based stations are selected in Fig. 2. These cells are commented with station names. Visualization of the global TOC field is illustrated in Fig. 3.

The Excel capabilities allow mapping TOC fields with different TOC values shown by different colors. Changing the path to the TOMS Grid text file in the above code, it is possible to perform both quick and efficient visual browsing of the global TOC evolution and sampling of the needed TOC values. Thus, Fig. 4 illustrates the results of such sampling in order to evaluate operation of the ground-based stations Odessa and Kiev in the second quarter of 2002.

Day: 1 Jan	1, 2001 EP/TOMS	NRT OZONE	GEN:01.005 Asc LECT: 11:12 AM
Longitudes:	288 bins centered on	179.375 W to	179.375 E (1.25 degree steps)
Latitudes :	180 bins centered on	89.5 S to	89.5 N (1.00 degree steps)
298298298298	8298298298298298298298	32982952952952	295295295295295295295295295295
29529529529	5295295295297297297297	2952952952952	296296296296296296296296296296
29629629629	6296296296296296296296	52962962962922	292292292292292292292292292292
292292292292	2292292292292292292292	2922922912912	291291291291291291291291291291
291291291293	1292292292292292292292	2922922922922922	292292292292292292292292292292292
292292292294	4294294294293293293293	32932932932932	293293293293293293293293293293293
293293293293	3293293292292292292292	2922922922922922	292292292292292292292292292292
292292292292	2292292292292292293293	32932932932932	293293293293293293293293293293293
293293293293	3290290290290290290290	2902902902902902	290290290290290290290290290291
29129129128	9289289289289289289289	2892892892892892	289289289289289289289289289293293
293293292292	2292292292292292292292	2922922922922922	292292292292292292292292292292292
292292292292	2292296296296296296296	5296296 lat	= -89.5

Fig. 1. Information rows and first latitude block in the TOMS Grid format.

In the set of the set									10 ×							
		@ D. V	X Ra 18	00	17 - 18	E & #	1 21 10	🚯 100x	• 🖽 •			•	· Ecored		白水豆	60.
An	Cyr	+ 10	- × K	9 = 1	= 田	\$ % 0	12 22	保保日	. 3.1	×	11	12	ar ar sei	at 14 3	= 34 8	1 100
R	720159	-	 ЕСЛИ; 	Sourse R18	91C21=0,*	SourselR1	891C21)									
_	1	152	153	154	165	156	157	158	159	160	161	162	163	164	165	
1	4.478	60.5	61.5	62.5	63.5	64.5	63.5	66.5	67.5	68.5	69.5	70.5	71.5	72.5	73.5	_
51	6.8/5	263	200	28/5	2/1	2/8	289	254	293	289	269	289	296	291	20	8
52	8.125	24/	251	256	2/2	261	290	293	292	28/	290	209	295	291	2/	8
52	9.375	247	201	250	272	201	290	295	292	200	290	209	200	291	22	8 1
54	10.625	239	242	256	2/6	266	282	293	291	300	257	293	290	200	1 2/	2
55	11.875	239	242	296	276	295	292	293	291	300	297	293	290	205	1 27	2 1
20	13.125	243	249	260	276	207	- 292	290	290	299	210	293	290			-
5/	14.3/3	243	249	260	2/6	287	282	296	298	299	296	293	290	200	1 2/	2
58	10.620	248	253	200	289	298	292	290	298	306	304	296	290	2/1	25	8
200	16.8/5	240	-053	.000	209	200	200	230	200	306	304	200	280	4/1		M
5U	18.1/2	255	257	257	28/	293	294	291	255	306	255	296	280	2/1	25	
21	19,375		257	257	20/	290	2294	291	295	306	295	290	200	2/1		8-1
	24.025		202	2/9	200	200		300		303		200	200	211		8
53	21.8/3	254	252	2/9	200	290	234	300	291	303	200	200	236	2/1	20	10
24	23.129	2/1	213	2/8	205	202	291	209	2/2	291	200	200	200	2/1		8
100	24.3/3	2/1	2/3	2/8	200	202	201	200	2/2	201	250	200	100	2/1	-20	10
80	20.60	280	286	282	2/9	26/	293	293	282	265	2/9	206	2/9	283	ł	
M.	20.875	200	200	202	2/9	207	290	290	202	200	2/9	200	219	203	<u></u>	
DEI I	28.125	286	287	200	200	290	201	2.85	200	28/	205	200	2/9	283		
69	29.30	296	287	290	286	290	251	295	290	26/	205	286	2/9	283	1	
20	30.625	279	206	290	290	290	291	297	200	203	270	2/2	267	2/0	2	8 -
4	31.80	2/9	296	2565	293	296	201	257	200	283	2//8	2/2	267	2/5	1 2/	8
12	33.129	257	296	294	297	296	303	301	787	281	266	212	257	2/5	1 2/	8
걸	34.375	207	296	294	297	210	303	301	207	201	200	212	207	2/0	27	8-1
14	20.629	302	301	CLE	305	308	301	236	294	200	263	265	262	200	2/	-
15	36.875	302	301	305	305	308	301	296	294	205	283	265	252	290	<u> </u>	5
/0	30.125	307	313	313	302	300	201	230	292	200	279	200	202			2
11	202.0	307	313	313	302	3.0	251	296	292	28/	2/9	265	262	250	20	-
78	40.625	310	313	317	310	301	291	296	289	200	278	269	298	253		8
79	41.875	310	313	317	310	301	297	236	289	200	278	269	256	265	20	8
BU	43.125	321	323	320	311	303	300	298	292	291	2/6	269	258	263	27	8
61	44.3/5	321	323	320	311	303	300	290	292	291	276	269	250	263	27	8
84	65.625	324	318	315	305	301	304	248	236	297	279	2/5	275	2/4	28	
64	46.875	324	318	/ 117 47 7	305	301	104	245	295	29/	1 men / 10	2/5	275	2/4	28	in de
	P. P. V.20	ALSO A HITTER	V SECOURC	ALICE	4 A 100_02	Se A LING	CALK IS	CALC A A D	ARCAL	In State	A 1000 K 10	Carling V	2014		000001	- 41
II.e.	ствия +	G Am	ANCIEN + /	100	2 🖄 机	2 0	2. 4.									
Forme	-									10				24.8		

Fig. 2. TOMS data presented as transposed Mercator array.





Figure 4 and the calculated parameters presented that characterize the station performance are obtained using the Excel tools in the macro mode, that is, the list of stations (Odessa, Kiev) can be automatically replaced with any other, and the results of comparison are obtained almost immediately. It can be seen from Fig. 4 that the quality of the data from the station Kiev is much higher, because the presented regression coefficient of the ground-based and satellite data is almost ideal (equal to unity), and the spread of the data determined by the difference of the regression determination coefficient R^2 from unity is much more narrow. (Generally speaking, one must not require identity of the ground-based and satellite data for any season and any station not only because of errors present in the satellite data, but also because different parameters are measured: midday (local time) TOC value from a satellite and daily average TOC value from the ground. However, the fact that the regression coefficient differs by 12% from unity is indicative of obvious underestimation of the ground-based data.)

I.L. Galkina et al.

As applied to Excel capabilities of archiving and visualizing the satellite TOC data, it is easy to perform spatial and temporal averaging of primary data, which is needed because of the following circumstances:

• low altitude of the Earth Probe station bearing TOMS, the TOC data in the equatorial zone and, partly, in mid-latitudes have some gaps (see Fig. 3);

• readouts in neighboring TOMS Grid cells in the middle and polar latitudes duplicate each other;

• for analysis of long-term changes in the global TOC distribution the spatial resolution corresponding to the TOMS Grid cell is certainly redundant.

The Excel capabilities on averaging of the TOMS data are illustrated in Fig. 5, which was drawn for the monthly mean TOC data averaged in space with the 3° step in latitude and the 5° step in longitude. Averaging was performed by linking worksheets using the Excel function, which is exemplified below.

IF(COUNTERGAPS(TrMatr!R[183] C[102]:R[186]C[104])>7,"", AVERAGE (TrMatr!R[183]C[102]:R[186]

C[104]))

This function averages a TOMS Grid 3×4 array, if it includes no less than eight readouts. The worksheets are linked by a code similarly to the macro, whose code is given in Appendix 1. The topographic map in Fig. 5 was generated by the macro, whose code is given in Appendix 2. Whenever necessary (for example, for the following publication), a gray-scale map with high resolution all over the dynamic range can be drawn, for example, in Mathcad by importing the data from Excel. An example of such a map is depicted in Fig. 6.

Consider now archiving and analysis of the data on the vertical ozone distribution (VOD) performed with the MS Excel. These data are also the results of balloon-borne and satellite measurements. There are three formats used for archiving the data on VOD: the WOUDC new and old formats and the NASA format. The data in these formats can be found on the CD.³ All the three formats can be easily assimilated by Excel, which has significant advantages in the search of particular VOD profiles or retrieval some additional information about them. Explain this with some examples. Since radiosonde measurement systems used by the global network of balloon-borne VOD measurements do not use radars, the altitude reference is absent in data of two of three formats mentioned above. The Excel's intrinsic functions allow this reference to be restored using the recursion equation following from the barometric formula:

$$h_{i+1} = h_i + \frac{(T_{i+1} + T_i)R}{2\mu g} \ln \frac{p_i}{p_{i+1}}$$

where h_i is the height of the *i*th readout; $T_i = 273.15 + t_i^0$; t_i^0 is the *i*th temperature readout; p_i is the *i*th pressure readout; R is the absolute gas constant; μ is the molecular weight of air; q is the acceleration due to gravity. Besides, the user can calculate the ozone mixing ratio and concentration profiles, integrated ozone profile (Integrated Ozone), potential temperature, etc., as well as perform model calculations for the whole data array by specifying the needed formula in a single cell of the corresponding column and extending it to the entire workbook using the Autofill method. The results of modeling can be easily visualized using the standard Excel tools. For example, in Ref. 4 we showed that the probability of direct destruction of the ozone molecule in collisions at the altitude h with a particle of polar stratospheric clouds (PSC) W is connected with the ozone chemical potential v as:

$$W = 1 - \operatorname{erf}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\mathbf{v}}{RT}}\right) + 2\left(\sqrt{\frac{\mathbf{v}}{\pi RT}}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{\mathbf{v}}{RT}\right),$$

where erf is the error function⁵; T is the absolute temperature at the altitude h;



Global TOC distribution in October 1998

Fig. 6. Example of visualization of TOMS data imported to Mathcad from Excel.

$$\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{\mu}_3 g h + R \int_0^h \frac{T}{p_3} \mathrm{d}p_3 ;$$

 μ_3 is the molecular weight of ozone; p_3 is the ozone partial pressure.

It is seen from Fig. 7 that on the Excel worksheet it is convenient to calculate the profiles of the chemical potential, conditional probability W, and the intensity of the ozone sink to PSC particles, which is proportional to W multiplied by the surface area of PSC particles per unit volume. Assuming that this area, in its turn, is proportional to the difference between the absolute temperature and the temperature threshold of PSC existence ~ 200 K, we can easily calculate the vertical profiles of the intensity of ozone sink accurate to a constant factor. One of such profiles is shown in Fig. 8 along with the concentration profile; Figure 8 has been plotted using the standard Excel tools.

It is seen from Fig. 8 that anticorrelation between the ozone concentration and the intensity of the ozone sink to the surface of PSC particles is no less obvious than that between the ozone concentration and the chlorine oxide concentration, which was depicted in the well-known figure from Ref. 6, published in its time in almost all magazines and newspapers, intimidating readers with mythic freon threat. Here it is appropriate to emphasize one important advantage of the MS Excel more. All calculations performed using Excel can be readily checked from the viewpoint of formulas specified and exclude computational errors. This means that the results based on modeling by the Excel tools automatically meet the requirement of *repeatability* commonly accepted in natural sciences that is now almost forgotten in the current models of atmospheric processes.⁷

Another significant facility given to the user by the Excel is the intrinsic function LINEST, which calculates the coefficients of linear regression of a modeled series from a set of regressors including up to 15 series. (This latter restriction is not significant, one of the ways to overcome it is considered, for example, in Ref. 8). Besides the linear regression coefficients, the LINEST function gives the errors of their calculation (as well as the model determination coefficient, the Fisher statistics, and the number of degrees of freedom). In combination with the function giving the Student's t-statistics that is also intrinsic feature of the Excel, this allows a user to determine the level of statistical confidence for each of the regressors and to select those of them, which are confident at the userspecified level. These operations can be easily automated by VBA macros. As an example, Fig. 9 depicts the latitude-time slice of the TOC seasonal behavior as judged from the TOMS data.

84 L 12	abortest o	arel - 5	16101										
619	Easter Dr	Mera B	at Brige	ka Papear Ce	рекс Данные	Direc Di	free a						121
0	19 Q	83	14 3	BERG	10 + C2 + 1	\$ Σ Å	: 화장 48	43 100X × B	9 -		 Безопасность. 	인섯님	2 00
Arial I	Car.		# 10 #	XXYI	6 W W W	1 109 36	100 54 25	御御田・〈	> · A · .	11	1 10 OF OC HT H1 4	ケヨウビ	1 20
t	M22	-	-	-0.1*89422*273	15/(273.15+	(9422)							_
	A	0	Ç	D.	E	r G	H	- I	1	К	L /	N N	
699	FLT	101 8	7/10/10	283	B	34 0	9	189	143	16	0.959		
400	P, mb j	p3, nb	t, K	wAzimuth, deg	Welscity		h,m	n, D.u.Am	x, 0.u	ChemPet, J/mel	KinEnergy, J/mol		1
401	901	20	-15	50	0 0		21	2.751074956	D	0	3219.30065		
402	700	18	-25	116	8 6		2520.708694	1.98134193	5.914831581	403.4164113	3094.67865		
403	500	14	-42		11		4877.91299	1.654380273	10.19990176	1012.928224	2882.67165		
404	-300	12	-63		9 10	_	0169.629996	1.659743041	16 40973324	2280.492863	2620.70065		
405	299	12	-63	55	9 10		8190.067622	1.559743041	15.52176666	2290.166085	2620.78065		
406	295	35	-68	54	7		9653.751961	4.660126737	20.07372965	4827.573709	2558.42565		
407	200	87	-68	30	5 5		10622.11317	6.257884475	25.36001889	6786.486974	2658.42565		
408	192	48	-69	26	3 3		10865.63669	6.422336517	26.91032503	5937.479088	2545.95465		
416	68.2	38	-75	307	12		16918.91148	5.238304315	53 68237661	8408.133026	2471.12865		
417	61.6	40	-75	307	14		17509.22847	5.514004542	56.05801191	8770.673881	2471.12005		
418	57.5	23	.75	304	15		17908.69931	3.170552612	58.59062555	8047.167232	2471.12865		
419	52.2	15	-75	304	1B		18469.55202	2.067751703	60.05958416	7607.149429	2471.12865		
420	50	18	-74	306	5 19		10719.91007	2.460842581	60.6274842	8026.18882	2433.59965		
421	37.6	24	-69	309	9 25		20401.90245	3.211168259	65.40433465	9300.709607	2545.95465		
422	37.3	34	-68	306	25		20449.89664	4.526980258	65 58996904	9915.939104	2558.42565		
423	35.4	48	-68	300	1 25		20763.81958	6.391030953	67.30375069	10651.96735	2553.42565		
424	32.1	56	-66	306	3 25		21354.26112	7.384214337	71.37048927	11194.26896	2583.36765		
425	31.4	67	-65	306	3 25		21488:26538	8.792241172	72.45434619	11566 99952	2595.83865		
426	-30	69	-05	309	25		21786.14623	9.054696133	74.93400724	11748.78387	2595.83865		
427	23.8	70	-69	310	32		23196.6658	8.929554751	87.79670345	12447.81803	2670.66465		
428	20	67	-63	306	5 34		24302.08471	8.312991142	97.32626884	12889.38973	2745.49065		
429	17.2	63	-49	306	5 33		25252.09317	7.677202766	105 1663266	13237.56165	2795.37465		
430	13.2	67	-41	321	40		27049.7314	7.883296668	118.9143184	14196.60208	2895.14265		
431	10	54	-28	300	48		26967.60533	6.016765246	132 3825925	14671.34553	3057.26565		
432	10	54	-28	320	0 48		26967.60533	6.016765246	132 3826925	14071.34553	3057.26565		
433	B.5	63	-23				30165.52395	6.879252449	139.9778222	19543.53961	3119.62065		
434	7.6	58	-20				30969.84879	6.258226348	145 3925972	15758.7835	3157.03365		_
435													
436	FLT	101 6	0/10/15	298	7	24 0	9	182	107	16	1.171		
437	P, mb	p3, nb	t, K	wAzimuth, deg	wivelecity		h, m	s, D.s.Am	x, D.u.	ChemPet, J/mol	KinEnergy, J/mail		1
438	982	31	-22	220) 2		21	3.371550866	D	0	3132.09165		-
439	823	22	-27	257	2 10		1306.40164	2.441316271	3.735934475	-103.5373152	3069.73665		
11	E HIC I	braubrawn	at L de	albeen) Y the	COMMENT & AN	argaments	I toriumy I be	arpawaé 3,61NI	01/14				- 11

Fig. 7. Excel worksheet with the archive of balloon-borne ozone data.



Fig. 8. Anticorrelation between the ozone concentration and the intensity of ozone sink at the surface of PSC particles.



Fig. 10. Smallest (*a*) and largest (*b*) tropopause height for Hohenpeissenberg station (48°N, 11°E) according to the results of statistical diagnostics of the archive of tropopause observations by Excel macro.

The results depicted were obtained through regression of the zonally and monthly mean series to statistically confident (at the level of 95%) harmonics of the annual behavior.

Figure 9 was plotted in Mathcad with the data imported from Excel in order to use the wider Mathcad capabilities in gray-scale and color isoline drawing.

Excel allows efficient multi-criterion search. For example, when analyzing VOD or the vertical temperature (VT) profiles, it is important to find the tropopause height to separate tropospheric ozone that attracts now the increased interest of investigators from other data, as well as to determine the type of an air mass (tropic, polar, etc.).

The corresponding algorithm based on the definition from Ref. 9 can be easily realized as a macro capable of processing the entire archive. (Tropopause height is determined as a first level lying no lower than the 500 mbar isobaric surface, the mean vertical temperature gradient between which and the upper levels in the 2-km layer does not exceed $2^{\circ}/\text{km.}$) The results of automatic determination of the tropopause height can be easily tested by the peer review method, by selecting the smallest and largest values of the tropopause height using Excel intrinsic functions. (For this purpose, it is very convenient that Excel allows the user to find not only the largest parameter, but also the parameters second, third, and so on in value.)

Figure 10 shows the largest and smallest values of the tropopause height determined by Excel for the data obtained at the Hohenpeissenberg station. It is seen from Fig. 10 that Excel successfully copes with this task even in the nontrivial case of hidden tropopause (Fig. 10a) and its diagnostics is confirmed by a jump of the ozone mixing ratio.

However, the Excel searching capabilities show themselves most efficiently when operating with arrays of satellite data on the vertical distribution of weather parameters and minor gaseous constituents of the atmosphere (MGCA). Using the Excel filters Autofilter and Custom Filter, as well as Sort, the user can easily organize the data in the form convenient for analysis and visualization. Filters allow the user to have on the worksheet only the data meeting the user's criteria (in both values of the parameters and time or geographic reference), while sorting orders the filtered array in the ascending or descending order. As an example, we present the latitude-altitude slice of the ozone mixing ratio as measured by the CRISTA-2 multichannel radiometer from onboard the space Shuttle in August 1998. Figure 11 depicts these data, which were obtained with the use of Excel Filter and Sort functions, as well as the Average function, which gave the zonally average values of the mixing ratio.

Certainly, the above examples do not exhaust the Excel capabilities as applied to archiving, analysis, and visualization of the ozonometric data. However, we hope that they convince our colleagues to widely use this powerful and efficient instrument in their everyday work. The use of MS Excel tables not only improves the efficiency of research, but also significantly facilitates the exchange of data between specialists and mutual check of the obtained scientific results.

Appendix 1

Codes for referencing between Excel worksheets to convert TOMS Grid data into array of readouts

Global Const TGFCOLUMNS = 25'	Number of rows in latitude block of TOMS Grid format
Global Const TGLATES = 180'	Number of latitude blocks
Global Const TGLONES = 288'	Number of readouts (resolved elements) in a latitude block

Public Sub ToTrMatr()

'Converts TOMS Grid format on the first worksheet into transposed array on the second 'worksheet

Dim lat As Integer, Ion As Integer, ro As Integer, co As Integer, f As String For lat = 1 To TGLATES For lon = 1 To TGLONES ro = 12 * lat - 11 + (lon - 1) \setminus TGFCOLUMNS co = (lon - 1) Mod TGFCOLUMNS + 1 f = Worksheets(1).name & "!R" & Trim(ro) & "C" & Trim(co) f = "=" & "IF(" & f & "=0,"""," & f & ")" Worksheets(2).Cells(lon + 1, lat + 1).FormulaR1C1 = f Next lon Next lat End Sub

Appendix 2

```
Public Sub GeogrBG()
```

'Draws topographic map on the Map diagram; latitude and longitude of points are given in the 'first two columns of the worksheet ("GBG")

Dim intRow As Integer, sngX As Single, sngY As Single, intI As Integer

Charts("Map"). Activate intRow = 1sngSLat = Worksheets("GBG").Cells(1, 4).Value sngNLat = Worksheets("GBG").Cells(1, 5).Value sngKlon = Charts("Map").Axes(xlCategory).Width / 355 sngBlon = Charts("Map").Axes(xlCategory).Left + 177.5 * sngKlon sngKlat = Charts("Map").Axes(xlSeries).Height / (sngSLat - sngNLat) sngBlat = Charts("Map").Axes(xlSeries).Top - sngNLat * sngKlat Do While Worksheets("GBG").Cells(intRow, 1) <> "" intI = intI + 1If Abs(Worksheets("GBG").Cells(intRow, 1).Value) < 177.5 And Worksheets("GBG").Cells(intRow, 2).Value > sngSLat And _ Worksheets("GBG").Cells(intRow, 2).Value < sngNLat Then sngX = Worksheets("GBG").Cells(intRow, 1).Value * sngKlon + sngBlon - 0.5 sngY = Worksheets("GBG").Cells(intRow, 2).Value * sngKlat + sngBlat - 0.5 ActiveChart.Shapes.AddShape(msoShapeRectangle, sngX, sngY, 0.1, 0.1).Select If intI Mod 400 = 0 Then DoEvents End If intRow = intRow + 1Loop sngX = sngBlatActiveChart.Shapes.AddLine(-177.5 * sngKlon + sngBlon, sngBlat, _ 177.5 * sngKlon + sngBlon, sngBlat).Select Selection.ShapeRange.Line.Weight = 0.5Selection.ShapeRange.Line.DashStyle = msoLineDash ActiveChart.Shapes.AddLine(sngBlon, sngBlat + Worksheets("GBG").Cells(1, 4).Value * sngKlat, _ sngBlon, sngBlat + Worksheets("GBG").Cells(1, 5).Value * sngKlat).Select Selection.ShapeRange.Line.Weight = 0.5Selection.ShapeRange.Line.DashStyle = msoLineDash

Worksheets("GBG").Cells(1, 8).Value = 1 End Sub

References

1. P. Blattner, L. Ulrich, K. Cook, T. Dyck, Using Microsoft Excel 2000 (1999).

2. A. Garnaev, *Teach Yourself in VBA* (BHV, St. Petersburg, 1999), 503 pp.

3. Ozone Data for the World. ODW CD#1 (Environment, Canada, 1999).

4. A.M. Zvyagintsev, V.V. Zuev, G.M. Kruchenitskii, and T.V. Skorobogatyi, Issled. Zemli iz Kosmosa, No. 3, 29–34 (2002).

5. E. Jahnke and F. Emde, *Tables of Functions with Formulae and Curves* (Dover Publications, New York, 1945).

6. J.G. Anderson, W.H. Brune, S.A. Lloyd, et al., J. Geophys. Res. **94**, 11480 (1989)

7. I.L. Galkina and G.M. Kruchenitskii, Atmos. Oceanic Opt. (in print).

8. A.M. Żvyagintsev, N.E. Kadygrov, and G.M. Kruchenitskii, Issled. Zemli iz Kosmosa, No. 3 (in print; 2003).

9. Instructions for Weather Stations and Posts. Issue 4. Upper-air Observations at Stations. Part IIIa (Gidrometeoizdat, Leningrad, 1973), 113 pp.